DRAFT — NOT FOR SUBMISSION

PHASE I ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Alder Reach Waterfront Renewal

Alder Reach Waterfront Renewal

PREPARED FOR
Juniper Basin Engineering

PREPARED BY
Arroyo Lantern Cultural Resources

REPORT NUMBER
AR-2026-014

REPORT DATE
October 14, 2026

PROJECT LOCATION
Fictional demonstration location — not a surveyed property

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This fictional demonstration follows Alder Reach Waterfront Renewal from project records to a source-linked report. It illustrates the Baseline Survey theme in CR Publisher. No actual fieldwork, regulatory determination or professional approval is represented.

The scenario records an industrial waterfront with a warehouse, conveyor and timber pilings. Recorded access is limited by pavement, structures, water and riprap. These conditions do not establish the presence or absence of buried resources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary i

List of Figures iii

List of Tables iv

Introduction and Summary 1

Project Location and Description 1

Existing Conditions 1

Environmental / Cultural / Historical Context 2

Records Search / Background Research 2

Applicable Regulatory Framework 3

Significance / Evaluation Framework 3

Research Design 3

Methods 3

Results / Findings 3

Resource Importance / Evaluation / Interpretation 4

Management Considerations / Recommendations 4

References Cited 4

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Waterfront setting 2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Recorded survey coverage 4

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Arroyo Lantern Cultural Resources prepared this demonstration for the fictional client Juniper Basin Engineering. Alder Reach, the client, the preparer and the project records described here are illustrative. Report AR-2026-014 shows how narratives, figures and tables can remain together in a technical report.

The sample is a draft for layout review. It is not a submission, permit application or record of actual archaeological work.

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Alder Reach is a fictional working waterfront. No real county, parcel, coordinate system, legal boundary or agency-approved area of potential effects is assigned. The scenario considers renewal of existing waterfront infrastructure; final design limits and excavation quantities are not established.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

A working waterfront. The shoreline is occupied by a warehouse, a conveyor structure and a series of timber pilings. Gravel and paved surfaces connect the buildings to the water’s edge.

The fictional field notes distinguish visible waterfront structures from areas obscured by buildings, surfacing and vegetation. These observations describe accessible conditions; they do not establish the presence or absence of buried resources (Arroyo Lantern Cultural Resources, *Waterfront Field Notes*, 2026).

The waterfront image is an AI-generated illustration used to demonstrate report layout. It is not field photography and does not substantiate the observations in this fictional project.

FIGURE 1.
Waterfront setting



Alder Reach waterfront. AI-generated illustration for this fictional demonstration; not field photography. It does not substantiate field observations.

ENVIRONMENTAL / CULTURAL / HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The demonstration includes a previous property review as an example of background material kept with a project. No regional chronology, construction date, historic association or tribal history is inferred from the illustration. Original documentation would be needed before developing that context in a real report.

RECORDS SEARCH / BACKGROUND RESEARCH

The example project materials comprise waterfront field notes, a survey register, a project location map, a previous property review and a generated illustration. They demonstrate a filing and citation workflow rather than an authenticated records search. No completeness claim or database-search result is made.

APPLICABLE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

No lead authority, federal undertaking, permit, consultation pathway or applicable jurisdiction has been assigned to this fictional scenario. The report family alone does not establish applicability. The author would confirm the review pathway and current requirements with the responsible authority for a real project.

SIGNIFICANCE / EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

No resource eligibility or effect finding is proposed. The visible industrial structures are descriptive elements in a fictional scenario, not evaluated historic properties. Significance assessment requires project-specific documentation and professional judgment beyond this layout sample.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The demonstration objective is to keep recorded observations, their sources and their limitations visible as the report is assembled. It does not represent an original field sampling plan or an approved research design.

METHODS

The fictional survey register describes accessible surfaces at the warehouse frontage and conveyor approach as walked, and the shoreline as viewed from accessible points. These source-reported categories are retained separately from observation limits.

No subsurface investigation, collection, measured survey coverage or spatial analysis is represented. Walking a surface does not mean the underlying ground was visible. The generated image supplies illustration only (Arroyo Lantern Cultural Resources, *Survey Register*, 2026).

RESULTS / FINDINGS

Table 1 keeps recorded coverage and observation limits together. It preserves the distinction between access and visibility without introducing numerical coverage or a pooled visibility estimate. Pavement, structures, water and riprap limit what the fictional records can describe.

TABLE 1. RECORDED SURVEY COVERAGE

Survey area	Recorded coverage	Observation limits
Warehouse frontage	Accessible surfaces walked	Pavement obscures underlying ground
Conveyor approach	Accessible route walked	Structures limit access
Shoreline edge	Viewed from accessible points	Water and riprap limit observation

Source: Arroyo Lantern Cultural Resources, *Survey Register*, 2026. Fictional demonstration data; not a finding of survey adequacy.

RESOURCE IMPORTANCE / EVALUATION / INTERPRETATION

The scenario supports a descriptive account of a working waterfront, not a conclusion about archaeological absence or significance. The illustration and source labels are not independent corroboration. Dates, integrity, subsurface conditions and project effects remain unestablished.

MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS

For a real project, the author would confirm design limits and review authority, obtain relevant original records, and determine whether additional investigation is appropriate. This sample recommends no construction action and implies no agency concurrence or clearance.

REFERENCES CITED

Arroyo Lantern Cultural Resources. 2026. *Waterfront Field Notes*. Fictional project record used for a CR Publisher demonstration.

Arroyo Lantern Cultural Resources. 2026. *Survey Register*. Fictional project record used for a CR Publisher demonstration.

Alder Reach waterfront illustration. n.d. AI-generated demonstration image supplied for the CR Publisher marketing sample; not actual field photography.